

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,673		11/29/2001	Mario Visca	108910-00046	9940
4372	7590	03/27/2003			
		TNER PLOTKIN	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400	ECTICU	T AVENUE, N.W.	TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
WASHING	TON, DC	20036			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1714	Ø
	•	•		DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	$\boldsymbol{\wp}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4				40			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	ν			
•	'	09/995,673	VISCA ET AL.				
Office Action Summa	ry	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cephia D. Toomer	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this cor Period for Reply	mmunication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the prafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the first period for reply specified above is less than the first period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the first period for reply is specified above.	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply of the communication with the communication of the c	S(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum of Il apply and will expire SIX (6) N	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this con	nmunication.			
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70 	nonths after the mailing o	cause the application to becom- date of this communication, eve	e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	<i>,</i> —	s action is non-final.	U				
3) Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the	ndition for allowar practice under <i>E</i>	nce except for formal in Exparte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ments is			
Disposition of Claims	n the application						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending i4a) Of the above claim(s)							
		ii iioiii consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Lto						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to		election requirement					
Application Papers	restriction and/or	cicolion requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on i			y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the	drawing(s) be held in ab	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Exa	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	20						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non	e of:						
1. Certified copies of the p	riority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the p	riority documents	have been received i	n Application No				
3. Copies of the certified complex application from the* See the attached detailed Office	International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) The translation of the foreing the foreing the state of a contract the sta							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1		5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO				
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed December 31, 2002 in which claims 1-10 were amended and claims 12-14 were added.

The Double Patenting rejection is withdrawn in view of the filing of the Terminal Disclaimer.

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strepparola et al (US 5,980,642).

Strepparola teaches a method for removal of water from surfaces by applying an additive composition and skimming the surface to remove the water (see abstract). The additive composition is used in an amount of 0.1% or lower (see col. 3, lines 29-31). Strepparola teaches that his composition comprises a perfluoropolyether having a molecular weight of 300-1500 and a nonionic additive. Strepparola teaches all of the limitations of the present claims (see col. 1, lines 29-67; col. 2, lines 1-67; col. 3, lines 14-44).

Accordingly, Strepparola teaching all the limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Strepparola (US 6,096,240).

Application/Control mber: 09/995,673

Art Unit: 1714

Strepparola teaches a method for removal of water from surfaces by applying an additive composition and skimming the surface to remove the water (see abstract). The additive composition is used in an amount of 0.1% or lower (see col. 3, lines 38-40). Strepparola teaches that his composition comprises a perfluoropolyether having a molecular weight of 300-1500 and a nonionic additive. Strepparola teaches all of the limitations of the present claims (see col. 1, lines 27-67; col. 2, lines 1-67; col. 3, lines 9-40).

Accordingly, Strepparola teaching all the limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither Strepparola reference satisfies the ratio (K') of being higher than 1.6.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The Strepparola references teach perfluoropolyethers having a molecular weight between 300 and 1500 (Applicant is claiming 300-900) and the molecular weight of the fluoropolyether part T-OR_f is between 500 and 1200 (Applicant is claiming 400-2000). Given these molecular weights ranges, it is clear that both Strepparola references teach compositions that satisfy the ratio K´.

Applicant argues that neither Strepparola reference teaches removing the water by skimming.

Application/Control Manber: 09/995,673

Art Unit: 1714

Strepparola '642 teaches at col. 1, line 33 that the method of his invention "comprises covering the surface with a composition having specific weight higher than that of the water, and subsequently removing water from the composition by skimming". Strepparola '240 teaches this at (col. 1, lines 34-38).

Applicant's data have been taken under consideration but are not deemed to constitute unexpected results. The showings are not commensurate in scope. The examples are limited to X being CH₂O and B as OH. Also, the non-ionic additives of the comparatives are different from those of the invention. It cannot be ascertained if unexpected results are obtained.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,673

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia Toomer whose telephone number is 703-308-2509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

C. Toomer/mn March 26, 2003